

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
) NO. S1-4:20-CR-618 RWS
v.)
)
KENTREZ ROBINSON,)
)
Defendant.)
)

GUILTY-PLEA AGREEMENT

Come now the parties and hereby agree, as follows:

1. PARTIES:

The parties are the Defendant Kentrez Robinson, represented by defense counsel Michelle Monahan, and the United States of America (hereinafter "United States" or "Government"), represented by the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri. This agreement does not, and is not intended to, bind any governmental office or agency other than the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri. The Court is neither a party to nor bound by this agreement.

2. GUILTY PLEA:

A. **The Plea:** Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A), of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, in exchange for the Defendant's voluntary plea of guilty to Counts One and Four of the Indictment, the United States agrees to move for the dismissal as to the Defendant of Counts Two, Three, Five, and Six at the time of sentencing. Moreover, the United States agrees that no further

federal prosecution will be brought in this District relative to Defendant's violations of federal law, known to the United States at this time, arising out of the events set forth in the Indictment.

B. The Sentence: The parties agree that the recommendations contained herein fairly and accurately set forth some guidelines that may be applicable to this case. Further, the parties jointly agree that neither party will recommend a sentence less than 84 months nor more than 100 months. The parties understand that the Court is neither a party to nor bound by the Guidelines recommendations agreed to in this document.

3. ELEMENTS:

A. Counts One and Four: As to Counts One and Four, the Defendant admits to knowingly violating Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g)(1), and admits there is a factual basis for the plea and further fully understands that the elements of the crime are as follows:

- (i) The Defendant had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year;
- (ii) The Defendant, thereafter, knowingly possessed a firearm;
- (iii) At the time the Defendant knowingly possessed a firearm, he knew he had been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for more than one year; and
- (iv) The firearm was transported across a state line at some point during or before the Defendant's possession of it.

4. FACTS:

The parties agree that the facts in this case are as follows and that the United States would prove these facts beyond a reasonable doubt if the case were to go to trial. These facts may be considered as relevant conduct pursuant to Section 1B1.3:

Count One

On August 11, 2020, St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (“SLMPD”) surveillance cameras alerted officers that a silver Pontiac Solstice was driving down Natural Bridge Boulevard and had a license plate that had been reported from a Chevy truck. Officers were also aware that a silver Pontiac Solstice had recently been reported stolen. As a result, detectives began looking for the Solstice. Detectives located the Solstice, parked and unoccupied, in front of 1106 St. Louis Avenue, Saint Louis, Missouri, within the Eastern District of Missouri. Detectives surveilled the area and observed the Defendant exit one of the apartments at 1106 St. Louis Avenue, open the driver’s door of the Solstice, and take items from the Solstice. The Defendant went back into the apartment, then returned and entered the Solstice.

While the Defendant was inside the Solstice, detectives approached the Defendant. Detectives placed the Defendant under arrest. The Defendant briefly physically resisted, and, during this brief struggle, the Defendant dropped keys to the Solstice. Detectives patted the Defendant down and located a 9mm Beretta pistol, loaded with 14 rounds of ammunition, in the Defendant’s right pants pocket. Also in his right pants pocket was a plastic bag containing 2 plastic bags with what appeared to be a fentanyl.

The suspected fentanyl was submitted to and analyzed by an expert criminalist with the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department Crime Laboratory. The suspected controlled substances

were confirmed to be 5.14 grams of fentanyl, a schedule II controlled substance. The Defendant knew the substance was a controlled substance.

The pistol was determined by an expert firearms examiner to have been manufactured outside the State of Missouri, and, therefore, the pistol had been transported across state lines and in interstate commerce prior to or during Defendant's possession. The pistol can expel a projectile by the action of an explosive and is, therefore, a "firearm" as defined under federal law.

COUNT FOUR

On October 5, 2020, an individual reported he was the victim of a crime on the parking lot of 5 Star Market, located at 3900 South Broadway Boulevard. The individual reported his gun and money were taken during the incident. SLMPD officers reviewed surveillance video and obtained a license plate of the vehicle in which the perpetrator arrived and left the parking lot.

Shortly after obtaining the license plate, a license plate recognition camera indicated the vehicle was in the area of Page Boulevard and Walton. Officers located the vehicle and attempted to pull over the vehicle, which they later learned the Defendant was driving. The Defendant did not stop, but instead fled at a high rate of speed, ignoring stop signs and traffic signals, ultimately entering Interstate 70. The Defendant drove in excess of 100mph on the highway, exited, and continued to drive at high speeds throughout the city. During this flight, the Defendant hit a curb, deflating the front tire of his vehicle. However, the Defendant continued driving and, eventually, got onto Interstate 55. The Defendant exited the highway and continued to drive erratically until officers were able to use a tire deflation device, which disabled the vehicle near 5131 Vermont Avenue, Saint Louis, Missouri, within the Eastern District of Missouri. The Defendant exited the vehicle carrying a satchel and ran from officers. After climbing over at least two fences, officers

caught up to the Defendant and placed him under arrest. Officers searched the Defendant's satchel and located two pistols: 1) Glock 26 pistol containing a high-capacity magazine loaded with 29 rounds of ammunition; and 2) a Glock 19 pistol containing a high capacity magazine loaded with 17 rounds of ammunition. The Glock 19 pistol was the gun the individual at 5 Star Market reported had been taken from him. Also in the Defendant's satchel were 3 and ½ pills of suspected controlled substances, 21 capsules of suspected fentanyl (1.86g), and loose powder that was suspected to be fentanyl. (.51g).

The suspected fentanyl was submitted to and analyzed by an expert criminalist with the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department Crime Laboratory. The suspected controlled substances were confirmed to be 2.37 grams of fentanyl, a schedule II controlled substance. The Defendant knew the substance was a controlled substance.

The Glock 26 and Glock 19 pistols were determined by an expert firearms examiner to have been manufactured outside the State of Missouri, and, therefore, the pistols had been transported across state lines and in interstate commerce prior to or during Defendant's possession. The pistols can expel a projectile by the action of an explosive and are, therefore, "firearms" as defined under federal law.

Prior to August 11, 2020 and October 5, 2020, the Defendant was convicted of at least one felony crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year. At the time the Defendant possessed the aforementioned firearm, he knew he had been convicted of a crime punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year.

5. STATUTORY PENALTIES:

A. **Statutory Penalties:** The Defendant fully understands that the maximum possible penalties provided by law for the crimes to which the Defendant is pleading guilty are:

(i) **Counts One and Four:** imprisonment of not more than ten years, a fine of not more than \$250,000, or both such imprisonment and fine. The Court also may impose a period of supervised release of not more than three years.

In certain situations under Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(e)(Armed Career Criminal), the Defendant may be subject to a mandatory minimum sentence of imprisonment of fifteen (15) years and a maximum of life, a fine of not more than \$250,000, or both such imprisonment and fine, and a term of supervised release of not more than five years. The Defendant is pleading guilty with full knowledge of these possibilities, has discussed these possibilities with counsel and will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea if the Court determines the foregoing statute applies to Defendant's sentence.

6. U.S. SENTENCING GUIDELINES: 2021 MANUAL

The Defendant understands that this offense is affected by the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines and the actual sentencing range is determined by both the Total Offense Level and the Criminal History Category. As a preliminary matter, the parties agree that Counts One, Two, Four, and Five group pursuant to U.S.S.C. Section 3D1.2 *et seq.* The parties agree that the following are the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Total Offense Level provisions that apply:

A. **Offense Conduct:**

COUNTS ONE AND FOUR
FELON IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM

(i) **Chapter 2 Offense Conduct:**

(a) **Base Offense Level:** The parties agree that the Base Offense Level is found in Section 2K2.1(a) and depends on, among other things, the nature of the Defendant's criminal history and the characteristics of the firearm. The Base Offense Level may also be determined under Section 4B1.4 if Defendant is determined to be an Armed Career Criminal.

(b) **Specific Offense Characteristics:** The parties agree that the following Specific Offense Characteristics apply:

(1) Two levels will be added pursuant to 2K2.1(b)(1)(A) because the Defendant possessed three firearms;

(2) Two levels will be added pursuant to 2K2.1(b)(4)(A) because a firearm was stolen; and

(3) Four levels will be added pursuant to 2K2.1(b)(6)(B) because the Defendant possessed the firearm in connection with another felony offense, specifically either Possession of a Controlled Substance, in violation of Mo. Rev. St. § 579.015 or Possession With Intent to Distribute a Controlled Substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a). The parties believe there is insufficient evidence to support the Defendant possessed any firearm in connection with an armed robbery.

(ii) **Chapter 3 and 4 Adjustments:**

(a) The parties agree following additional adjustments apply: Two levels will be added pursuant to 3C1.2

(b) **Acceptance of Responsibility:** The parties recommend that two levels should be deducted pursuant to Sentencing Guidelines Section 3E1.1(a) because the Defendant has clearly demonstrated acceptance of responsibility. If the deduction pursuant to

Sentencing Guidelines Section 3E1.1(a) is applied, and the Defendant is otherwise eligible, then the United States moves to deduct one additional level pursuant to Sentencing Guidelines Section 3E1.1(b)(2), because the Defendant timely notified authorities of the intention to enter a plea of guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.

The parties agree that if the Defendant does not abide by all of the agreements made within this document, the Defendant's failure to comply is grounds for the loss of acceptance of responsibility pursuant to Sentencing Guidelines Section 3E1.1. The parties further agree that the Defendant's eligibility for a reduction pursuant to Sentencing Guidelines Section 3E1.1 is based upon the information known at the present time and that any actions of the Defendant which occur or which become known to the United States subsequent to this agreement and are inconsistent with the Defendant's acceptance of responsibility including, but not limited to criminal conduct, are grounds for the loss of acceptance of responsibility pursuant to Sentencing Guidelines Section 3E1.1. In any event, the parties agree that all of the remaining provisions of this agreement remain valid and in full force and effect.

B. Estimated Total Offense Level: The parties agree that the Total Offense Level will depend on the Base Offense Level determined pursuant to Section 2K2.1(a), along with other relevant factors stated above, unless the Defendant is an Armed Career Criminal. Depending on the underlying offense and the Defendant's criminal history, the Defendant could be an Armed Career Criminal pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(e) and Section 4B1.4. If the Court finds the Defendant is an Armed Career Criminal, the Total Offense Level may be higher and the Criminal History Category may be as high as Category VI. The Defendant has discussed

these possibilities with defense counsel. Both parties reserve the right to argue that the Defendant is or is not an Armed Career Criminal.

C. Criminal History: The determination of the Defendant's Criminal History Category shall be left to the Court. Either party may challenge, before and at sentencing, the finding of the Presentence Report as to the Defendant's criminal history and the applicable category. The Defendant's criminal history is known to the Defendant and is substantially available in the Pretrial Services Report.

D. Effect of Parties' U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Analysis: The parties agree that the Court is not bound by the Guidelines analysis agreed to herein. The parties may not have foreseen all applicable Guidelines. The Court may, in its discretion, apply or not apply any Guideline despite the agreement herein and the parties shall not be permitted to withdraw from the plea agreement. The Government recognizes it is bound by the specific agreements made above but reserves the right to answer any questions the U.S. Probation Office or the Court might have related to sentencing or present evidence at the Court's request.

7. WAIVER OF APPEAL AND POST-CONVICTION RIGHTS:

A. Appeal: The Defendant has been fully apprised by defense counsel of the Defendant's rights concerning appeal and fully understands the right to appeal the sentence under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742.

i. Non-Sentencing Issues: The parties waive all rights to appeal all non-jurisdictional, non-sentencing issues, including, but not limited to, any issues relating to pretrial motions, discovery and the guilty plea.

ii. **Sentencing Issues:** In the event the Court accepts the plea and sentences the Defendant to not more than 100 months, then, as part of this agreement, the Defendant hereby waives all rights to appeal all sentencing issues other than Criminal History. Similarly, the United States hereby waives all rights to appeal all sentencing issues other than Criminal History, provided the Court accepts the plea and sentences the Defendant to not less than 84 months.

B. **Habeas Corpus:** The Defendant agrees to waive all rights to contest the conviction or sentence in any post-conviction proceeding, including one pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255, except for claims of prosecutorial misconduct or ineffective assistance of counsel.

C. **Right to Records:** The Defendant waives all rights, whether asserted directly or by a representative, to request from any department or agency of the United States any records pertaining to the investigation or prosecution of this case, including any records that may be sought under the Freedom of Information Act, Title 5, United States Code, Section 522, or the Privacy Act, Title 5, United States Code, Section 552(a).

8. **OTHER:**

A. **Disclosures Required by the United States Probation Office:** The Defendant agrees to truthfully complete and sign forms as required by the United States Probation Office prior to sentencing and consents to the release of these forms and any supporting documentation by the United States Probation Office to the United States.

B. **Civil or Administrative Actions not Barred; Effect on Other Governmental Agencies:** Nothing contained herein limits the rights and authority of the United States to take any civil, tax, immigration/deportation or administrative action against the Defendant.

C. Supervised Release: Pursuant to any supervised release term, the Court will impose standard conditions upon the Defendant and may impose special conditions related to the crime Defendant committed. These conditions will be restrictions on the Defendant to which the Defendant will be required to adhere. Violation of the conditions of supervised release resulting in revocation may require the Defendant to serve a term of imprisonment equal to the length of the term of supervised release, but not greater than the term set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3583(e)(3), without credit for the time served after release. The Defendant understands that parole has been abolished.

D. Mandatory Special Assessment: This offense is subject to the provisions of the Criminal Fines Improvement Act of 1987 and the Court is required to impose a mandatory special assessment of \$100 per count for a total of \$200, which the Defendant agrees to pay at the time of sentencing. Money paid by the Defendant toward any restitution or fine imposed by the Court shall be first used to pay any unpaid mandatory special assessment.

E. Possibility of Detention: The Defendant may be subject to immediate detention pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3143.

F. Fines and Costs of Incarceration and Supervision: The Court may impose a fine, costs of incarceration, and costs of supervision. The Defendant agrees that any fine imposed by the Court will be due and payable immediately.

G. Forfeiture: The Defendant agrees to forfeit all of the Defendant's interest in all items seized by law-enforcement officials during the course of their investigation. The Defendant admits that all United States currency, weapons, property, and assets seized by law enforcement officials during their investigation constitute the proceeds of the Defendant's illegal activity, were

commingled with illegal proceeds, or were used to facilitate the illegal activity. The Defendant agrees to execute any documents and take all steps needed to transfer title or ownership of said items to the United States and to rebut the claims of nominees and/or alleged third party owners. The Defendant further agrees that said items may be disposed of by law enforcement officials in any manner.

9. ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND WAIVER OF THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHTS:

In pleading guilty, the Defendant acknowledges, fully understands and hereby waives his rights, including but not limited to: the right to plead not guilty to the charges; the right to be tried by a jury in a public and speedy trial; the right to file pretrial motions, including motions to suppress or exclude evidence; the right at such trial to a presumption of innocence; the right to require the United States to prove the elements of the offenses charged against the Defendant beyond a reasonable doubt; the right not to testify; the right not to present any evidence; the right to be protected from compelled self-incrimination; the right at trial to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses; the right to testify and present evidence and the right to compel the attendance of witnesses. The Defendant further understands that by this guilty plea, the Defendant expressly waives all the rights set forth in this paragraph.

The Defendant fully understands that the Defendant has the right to be represented by counsel, and if necessary, to have the Court appoint counsel at trial and at every other stage of the proceeding. The Defendant's counsel has explained these rights and the consequences of the waiver of these rights. The Defendant fully understands that, as a result of the guilty plea, no trial will, in fact, occur and that the only action remaining to be taken in this case is the imposition of the sentence.

The Defendant is fully satisfied with the representation received from defense counsel. The Defendant has reviewed the United States' evidence and discussed the United States' case and all possible defenses and defense witnesses with defense counsel. Defense counsel has completely and satisfactorily explored all areas which the Defendant has requested relative to the United States' case and any defenses.

10. VOLUNTARY NATURE OF THE GUILTY PLEA AND PLEA AGREEMENT:

This document constitutes the entire agreement between the Defendant and the United States, and no other promises or inducements have been made, directly or indirectly, by any agent of the United States, including any Department of Justice attorney, concerning any plea to be entered in this case. In addition, the Defendant states that no person has, directly or indirectly, threatened or coerced the Defendant to do or refrain from doing anything in connection with any aspect of this case, including entering a plea of guilty.

The Defendant acknowledges having voluntarily entered into both the plea agreement and the guilty plea. The Defendant further acknowledges that this guilty plea is made of the Defendant's own free will and that the Defendant is, in fact, guilty.

11. CONSEQUENCES OF POST-PLEA MISCONDUCT:

After pleading guilty and before sentencing, if the Defendant commits any crime, other than minor traffic offenses, violates any conditions of release that results in revocation, violates any term of this guilty-plea agreement, intentionally provides misleading, incomplete or untruthful information to the U.S. Probation Office or fails to appear for sentencing, the United States, at its option, may be released from its obligations under this agreement. The United States may also, in its discretion, proceed with this agreement and may advocate for any sentencing position supported

by the facts, including but not limited to obstruction of justice and denial of acceptance of responsibility.

12. NO RIGHT TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA:

Pursuant to Rule 11(c) and (d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Defendant understands that there will be no right to withdraw the plea entered under this agreement, except where the Court rejects those portions of the plea agreement which deal with charges the United States agrees to dismiss or not to bring.

12/19/22


Date



Jennifer L. Szczucinski
Assistant United States Attorney

12/19/22

Date



Kentrex Robinson
Defendant

12/19/22

Date



Michelle Monahan
Attorney for Defendant